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The evolution of such factors as tool use, society, language, and intelligence is generally believed to have had some involvement in the paleontological trend of encephalization. Co-evolution of culture and brain size is standard to textbook presentations. Several pieces of indirect evidence suggest biocultural interpretations for encephalization (Beals 1987). First, expansion of the brain case has been greater than is explicable by the corresponding increase in body size. Second, average human relative endocranial volume (24.9 cm³ per kg of body weight) is more than twice that of apes. "Biophysical" variables like body surface area, thermoregulation, and cranial geometry fail to fully explain the changes observed for hominid data (Beals, Smith, and Dodd 1984; Scarr-Salapatek 1971). Culture, then, may explain some of the variation of cranial capacity.

A vast body of (often controversial) literature exists on the general question of factors affecting cranial capacity. Most of the hypotheses we have tabulated relate to some type of cultural/cognitive explanation. For example, several independent studies with different populations and test instruments show significant (but low) correlations between cranial capacity and IQ scores. In reviewing these studies, Henneberg et al. (1985) suggest that where connections may exist, they are presumably due to cultural factors.

Previously, we demonstrated a relation between cranial capacity and coldness of climate (Beals, Smith, and Dodd 1983, 1984). Several commentators upon that paper indicated the desirability of merging our cranial capacity data base with the corresponding files that exist in cultural anthropology to more fully explain observed differences.

We therefore test biocultural hypotheses by correlating endocranial volume with cultural variables describing ethnographic populations. The specific questions are:

1. Are there any more significant associations between cranial capacity and cultural variations than one would expect by chance alone?
2. If so, is there a pattern that can be discerned for any particular class of cultural variation?
3. If so, does evidence exist that cranial capacity has more connections with cultural variations than other anthropometric traits?

Methods

Mean sex-combined reports on the cranial capacity for 122 populations are matched with ethnographic data from 1,170 cultures coded by Murdock (1967) and Barry. Of the cranial capacity reports available, 87 can be matched with cultural information. As with virtually all distribution studies, the sample is not proportionally representative culturally or geographically, but is the best set of data currently available for these research questions. European populations are underrepresented, and we added the coding for 6 cultures, to bring the total sample to 93. The Appendix lists the sample groups.

The data set includes 62 cultural variables. These variables are coded as nominal categories. In most cases, the categories are ordered according to anthropological theory reflecting the evolution of cultures from small-sized, homogeneous, nonhierarchical, egalitarian societies to large-scale, heterogeneous, hierarchical, stratified state societies.

The anthropometrics examined comprise sex combined, observed cranial capacity (CC) or endocranial volume (ECV), cranial module (CM), cranial capacity adjusted by latitude (CCLAT), and cranial capacity relative to weight and stature (CC/Wt and CC/St). For comparison, we include cephalic index (CI), nasal index (NI), stature (St),
weight (Wt), ponderal index (PI), and body surface area (SA)—calculated from weight and stature. All cases are combined, giving equal weight to both sexes. For all measures other than cranial capacity, sample size is substantially reduced. Only 31 cultures in the sample have data for weight and ponderal index, 53 for nasal index, 54 for stature and cephalic index, and 57 for cranial module. All of the correlations reported are Kendall’s \( \tau \) since the cultural data are ordinal, and the correlation needs to account for tied ranks. The correlations cannot be taken as demonstration of causal relationship. Their utility is with the question of whether the ethnographic data can provide clues in regard to explaining possible cultural factors in the trends and variance of the biological traits.

**Results**

The first hypothesis is a test of the number of significant correlations. In a theoretically independent (unrelated) set, the number of significant correlations expected by chance alone is 5%, if this is adopted as the standard level of significance. Since the number of cultural variables tested is 62, the theoretical expectation is 3.1 significant cases.

The purely empiric answer to the first basic question is “yes”; there are more significant associations with cranial capacity (CC) and cultural variables than can be explained by chance. Out of 62 trials, 11 correlations were significant at 0.05 or less. (At an arbitrary probability of 0.1, an additional 9 correlations exist.)

The same circumstance applies to all the anthropometric measures. The lowest and highest number of significant correlations are 16% with weight and ponderal index and 34% with nasal index. Many of the cultural traits are correlated with climate, as are all of the biological ones. Such a high number of significant correlations is a reflection of the holistic process of adaptation.

None of the correlations with ECV are strong; the highest observed is \(-0.27\). They are much weaker than occur with the body size and climatic associations. Table 1 reports all of the significant relationships with these ethnographic data. After abstracting only the variables that did produce a significant correlation from the lengthy total matrix, we arranged them into general clusters—those relating to family, subsistence, community, and other categories (“miscellaneous”). Four of the significant cases do occur with aspects of family life, but the overall pattern of the correlations is fundamentally random from the standpoint of clusters.

We then examined the correlations to see if they could be matched to hypotheses that have been suggested within the literature as having some explanatory relationship to cranial morphology. Well over 30 such hypotheses exist (e.g., Beals 1987; Calvin 1983). They include the invention of hats, projectile throwing, and many human cognitive abilities. None of the testable cognitive hypotheses could be verified with the available data. Moreover, the purely empiric results do not correspond with mechanisms hypothesized to explain the variation with endocranial volume.

In previous research (Beals, Smith, and Dodd 1984), the empirical associations between cranial capacity and a variety of climatic variables are reported. In general, the volume increases as one moves from warmer, equatorial climates to colder, arctic climates. The selective mechanism appears to be increasingly rounder head shape as adaptive for cold climates in combination with larger body size (Beals, Smith, and Dodd 1983). Spherical shape minimizes surface area. The rounding of head shape increases the volume. Climatic zone, isothermic zone, and latitude have very similar correlations with endocranial volume. Of these three related, general measures of climate, latitude is the most simple and objective. We adjusted ECV for latitude to see if a pattern among the cultural variables crosscuts either absolute (CC) or latitude adjusted (CCLAT) ECV reports. Body size, too, is a factor in cranial capacity; therefore, we also correlated the cultural variables with the ratios of cranial capacity by weight and stature.

As is apparent in Table 1, no clear cultural pattern emerges—significant correlations between cranial capacity, lati-
Table 1
Report of significant correlations, Kendall's \( \tau \) at \( P < 0.05 \), between human cranial capacity and cultural variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Ethnographic Atlas (card, column)</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CCLAT</th>
<th>CC/Wt</th>
<th>CC/St</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td>1,14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family type</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of marriage</td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrilineal kin groups</td>
<td>1,28</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin marriages</td>
<td>1,33–34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential marriages</td>
<td>1,35–36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subsistence patterns</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent gathering</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent hunting</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent agriculture</td>
<td>1,10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity of agriculture</td>
<td>1,38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of animal husbandry</td>
<td>1,51</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex participation—gathering</td>
<td>2,18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex participation—hunting</td>
<td>2,20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex participation—fishing</td>
<td>2,22</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex participation agriculture</td>
<td>2,26</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement pattern</td>
<td>1,40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community hierarchy</td>
<td>1,42</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchy beyond community</td>
<td>1,43</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>2,33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caste system</td>
<td>2,35</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavery</td>
<td>2,37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past slavery</td>
<td>2,38</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real property inheritance</td>
<td>2,41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political succession</td>
<td>2,58–59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of games</td>
<td>1,46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male genital mutilation</td>
<td>1,47</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent segregation</td>
<td>1,49</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex participation in housing</td>
<td>2,16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language family</td>
<td>2,29–30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof shape</td>
<td>2,49</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofing material</td>
<td>2,50</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premarital sexual behavior</td>
<td>2,45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tude-adjusted cranial capacity, and values relative to weight and stature are erratic and independent. There is also virtually no similarity in correlation patterns between absolute and latitude-adjusted cranial capacity. Out of the 11 significant relationships with observed cranial capacity, 10 of these fail when climatic effects are reduced by standardizing for equatorial distance. The single known cultural variable that significantly correlates with both observed and latitude-adjusted ECV is matrilineal kinship. But this has never been suggested as a possible causative factor, and it also fails with both the weight and stature relative measures of endocranial volume.

Since adaptation is to an ecological setting, it is not surprising that any trait describing body size or shape will correlate with cultural variables more often than
randomly expected. For instance, tropical peoples select appropriate roof types (one of the correlations reported) for that particular climate. Concurrently, long-term biological adaptation to the tropics selects for a particular morphology—small body size, small endocranial volume, and small ECV relative to stature; and large ECV relative to weight.

If cranial capacity has some special connection to culture, one might reasonably expect to find a higher percentage of correlations than with randomly selected traits. The evidence indicates that this is not the case. Taking only a statistical perspective, the shape of the nose better predicts cultural traits than does cranial capacity.

In searching the cultural evidence for clues, we did detect one pattern that clearly required detailed scrutiny. When we adjusted cranial capacity for latitude (CCLAT), correlations with subsistence pattern became intriguing (Table 1). Table 2 gives the averages for the seven subsistence patterns differentiated by Murdock (1967). Note the small ECV of gatherers and larger volume for hunters and fishers. Pastoralists and incipient, extensive, and intensive agricultural cultures have intermediate cranial capacities. Hunters and fishers in the sample live at latitudes farthest from the equator and have very similar cranial capacities. Pastoralists and agriculturalists in the sample have similar cranial capacities and significantly larger body sizes than do gatherers.

The general allometric relationship between body size and cranial capacity is clearly evident. However, there is an intriguing observation that the mean ECV of hunters and fishers is higher than that of agriculturalists, and yet the body size is smaller.

Table 2 indicates that gatherers in the sample have an average absolute value of latitude that places them closer to the equator. Hunters and fishers are farthest away. Our analysis determines that a correction of 2.5 cm$^3$ per degree of latitude is the average world correction that should be made to adjust cranial capacity for the impacts of latitude.

The reason for latitude adjustment is that body size, cranial capacity, and head shape are all functionally connected, and all are also correlated with latitude. Statistical correction allows the "noise" between the interaction of the variables to be reduced. Table 3 makes this adjustment. Hunters and fishers are grouped because Murdock defined hunters of large aquatic fauna as fishers. Further, both have similar cranial capacities and average distances from the equator. Table 3 shows that gatherers have the smallest adjusted cranial capacity and agriculturalists have the largest. All the cultures depending on plant and animal domest-

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsistence pattern</th>
<th>Cranial capacity (cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Latitude absolute value</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Stature (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gathering (n = 7)</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting (n = 14)</td>
<td>1,373$^a$</td>
<td>49$^a$</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing (n = 21)</td>
<td>1,370$^a$</td>
<td>50$^a$</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastoralism (n = 7)</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incipient agriculture (n = 10)</td>
<td>1,344$^a$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>62.5$^b$</td>
<td>159$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive agriculture (n = 11)</td>
<td>1,331$^b$</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>58.0$^b$</td>
<td>161$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive agriculture (n = 23)</td>
<td>1,341$^b$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55.1$^a$</td>
<td>158$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Significant at $P < 0.05 > 0.01$ compared with gathering.
$^b$Significant at $P < 0.1 > 0.05$ compared with gathering.
Table 3
Cranial capacity corrected for latitude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsistence pattern</th>
<th>Cranial capacity (cm³)</th>
<th>Adjusted cranial capacity (cm³)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Stature (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gathering (n = 7)</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting and fishing (n = 35)</td>
<td>1,371*</td>
<td>1,249</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and/or animal domestication (n = 51)</td>
<td>1,339b</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td>56.4a</td>
<td>159a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at P < 0.05 > 0.01 compared with gathering.

bSignificant at P < 0.1 > 0.05 compared with gathering.

Cranial capacities are combined because of similar cranial capacities and the fact that this subsistence pattern is the most recent among human cultures. With the correction for effects of colder climate, hunters and fishers change their position from Table 2 and are intermediate between gatherers and those cultures relying on domestication technologies. Table 3 shows that each change in subsistence pattern, for example, gathering to hunting to domestication, has a small impact on cranial capacity—20 cm³. This is about a 1.5% increase. Moving from the equator to 45° north latitude increases cranial capacity 112 cm³ or 9%.

Weight increase has the same pattern as cranial capacity in Table 3 and correlates both with latitude ($r = 0.38$) and cranial capacity ($r = 0.63$). Weight could easily explain the increase in ECV with change in subsistence pattern. This also raises the question of whether the invention of domestication increases both weight and cranial capacity. It is not ascertainable from correlational data whether cranial capacity would be increased beyond the amount due to the effect of larger body size.

We experimented with a number of regression models that would predict observed cranial capacity. The previous discussion indicates that the regression equation should contain a subsistence variable, the absolute value of latitude (as a proxy for climate), and weight as explanatory variables. Including weight, however, reduces the sample size from 93 to 31.

Regression analysis relaxes the assumption of the ordinal nature of the cultural data. Murdock coded subsistence variables by the percent of subsistence coming from the activity. Quantities of 0–5% were coded 0; 6–15% were 1; 16–25%, 2; and so on with 86–100% coded 9. Primary subsistence activities coded were gathering, hunting, fishing, animal husbandry, and agriculture. The best regression fit was with gathering alone.

Deleting weight because of insufficient data, the best regression model to predict cranial capacity is by the percentage of subsistence from gathering and the absolute value of latitude. The regression is significant ($F = 35.5, P < 0.0001$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.43$). The regression equation is

$$cm³ = 1,274 - 10.9 \text{(gathering)} + 2.4 \text{(latitude)}$$

This equation indicates that each 10% of subsistence coming from gathering reduces cranial capacity by 10.9 cm³. Each degree of latitude increases the cranial capacity by 2.4 cm³. This is within 0.1 cm³ of the full 122 sample reported by Beals, Smith, and Dodd (1984). The 1,274 cm³ for the equatorial culture that is totally dependent on plant and animal domestication is very close to the adjusted 1,268 cm³ cranial capacity in Table 3. This model (which includes both cultural and climatic variables) still explains less than half of the variance in ECV.

Discussion

Most tests for cultural associations between cranial capacity and cultural vari-
ables fail to provide meaningful explanations for increases in cranial capacity. The association with latitude, showing larger cranial capacity as head shape gets rounder with the habitation of cold climates, explains most of the variance. The relation with subsistence is suggestive, but more work is needed to distinguish the contribution of weight gain and other body form factors.

Clearly, cultural factors enabled humans to occupy cold climates. Agriculture and nutritional improvements result in larger body sizes. Testing these hypotheses requires much more cultural data in association with heterographic and paleontological observations. Subsistence is an underlying variable in common with all these changes. To explain the role of subsistence in these correlations, care must be taken to correct cranial capacity for factors such as climate and body size. So far, climate and body size empirically explain much more of the variance than do cultural variables. Changes in subsistence patterns have probably affected the endocranial volume variation by directly influencing body size.

Notes

1 The data set used for the calculations is described and available on diskette from World Cultures (vol. 3, no. 3, 1987).
2 Space limitation precludes listing all the cultural variables examined. The data description is available in Murdock (1967). The complete correlation matrix may be obtained by writing to the authors.
3 Herbert Barry III prepared large portions of the ethnographic data in its original computerized form (Ethnology 6:489, 1967).
4 Whiting, Sodergren, and Stigler (1982) show the association between cultural characteristics and climatic factors.

5 Conventional interpretation of brain weight as a function of surface area is the equation: \( E = K \times P^{0.67} \), where \( E \) is brain weight and \( P \) is body weight. However, more recent interpretation (Martin 1981) indicates metabolic rate as the determinate and taxonomically variable slopes with the allometric exponent. From the standpoint of human populations, the ECV to body weight relation is a variable that ranges from 20.8–33.8 cm\(^3\) per kg.
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Appendix

Cranial capacity (CC) matched with *Ethnographic Atlas* cultures.

Comparative anthropometrics are cranial module (C'M), stature (St), weight (Wt), body surface area in square meters (SA), cephalic index (CI), nasal index (NI), and ponderal index (PI). All data represent sex-combined means.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Murdock No./Culture</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>C'M</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>Wt</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 BUSHMEN (SAN)</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 DUTCH</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 KALMYK</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 GILYAK</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 YAKUT</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 TAMIL</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 ANDAMANESE</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 JAVANESE</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 AUSTRALIANS (NT)</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 Haida</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 GROS VENTRE</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 HURON</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 TARAHUMARA</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 QUECHUA</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 YAHGAN</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 HOT TENTOT</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117 AZANDE</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119 MASAI</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124 EGYPTIANS</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 ARABS</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 CHUKCHEE</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 SAMOYED</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145 VEDDA</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 BURMESE</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163 NEW IRELAND</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167 MAORI</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 MARQUESANS</td>
<td>1336</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171 KOSKIMO</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183 ZUNI</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185 NAHUA</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188 CARIB</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195 ARAUCANIANS (Chile)</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222 NUBIANS</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 BASQUES</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 CZECHS</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235 KORYAK</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236 YUKAGHIR</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237 JAPANESE</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243 PAPUANS</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245 SINHALESE</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 AUSTRALIANS (West)</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263 NEW CALEDONIA</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269 INUIT (NUNIVAK)</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275 CHEYENNE</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277 WICHITA</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279 DELware</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283 SERI</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295 ONA</td>
<td>1391</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
### Appendix (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Murdock No. / Culture</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CM</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>Wt</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>299 BOTOCUDO</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325 AINU</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333 AUSTRALIANS (QL)</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335 NEW BRITAIN</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341 MANDAN</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342 Pawnee</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345 MAYA</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359 BURYAT</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377 INUIT (St. Michael)</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382 TETON</td>
<td>1454</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384 CADDIO</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391 GOAIRO</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396 ALACALUF</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403 XHOSA</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458 ALEUT</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476 SALISH</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481 CHINOOK</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485 INUIT (S. Hampton)</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488 INUIT (Greenland)</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499 CHIPPEWA</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509 COWICHAN</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562 NEZ PERCE</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583 PAIUTE</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590 GOSIUTE</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617 ARIKARA</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619 BLACKFOOT</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625 PiegAN</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>657 PAPUANS (Torres)</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659 TAHITIANS</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687 MONGOL</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702 MANGBETU</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>804 BATELELA</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1094 LAWYA</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1109 OSTYAK</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110 CHINESE</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136 HINDU</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1141 TASMANIANS</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1142 AUSTRALIANS (NSW)</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159 Choctaw</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cultural Coding Additions with Associated Anthropometrics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CM</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>Wt</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRENCH</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANS</td>
<td>1391</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITALIANS</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLES</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTS</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWISS</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>